



MID-WILLAMETTE VALLEY  
HOMELESS ALLIANCE  
MOVING TO END AND  
PREVENT HOMELESSNESS

## CONTINUUM OF CARE NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

### PROPOSAL RATING FORM

#### (2) RENEWALS: PH-PSH, PH-RRH

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<i>Minimum Qualifications: Applicant meets minimum threshold requirements.</i>		<i>Yes/No Per Technical Review</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWWHA consultants</i>
<b>SECTION 1 – RECIPIENT PERFORMANCE</b>				
<b>1. APR TIMELINESS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the applicant submit the previous year’s APR on time? (5 for yes, 0 for no, if no satisfactory explanation)</li> </ul>	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	3	15
<b>2. DRAWDOWNS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the applicant draw funds quarterly for the current renewal project?</li> </ul>	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	3	15
<b>3. FUNDS REMAINING</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are there any funds remaining for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request? (5 for no, 0 for yes, if no satisfactory explanation)</li> </ul>	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	3	15
<b>4. UNRESOLVED AUDIT FINDINGS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are there any unresolved monitoring or OIG audit findings that are not explained to the satisfaction of the Continuum of Care?</li> </ul>	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	3	15

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<b>SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION</b>				
<b>6. PROJECT DESIGN</b>				
<p><b><u>Target Population to be Served</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project design reflects understanding of target population to be served and experience working with target population.</li> <li>• Program Participants Table and Subpopulations Table are consistent with narrative describing target populations to be served.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>General Project Elements</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project plan for addressing housing and supportive services needs is fully described. Type, scale, and location of the program fits the needs of the program participants.</li> <li>• Project design clearly describes how CoC Program funding will be used.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Implementation/Staffing Plan</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project implementation plan describes clearly stated project readiness, schedule and start date.</li> </ul>	Screen 3B and 4B, Table 5A, 5B		5	<p><u>TOTAL 80</u></p> <p>25</p>
<p><b>7. COORDINATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant describes how proposed project will collaborate with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, and how the applicant will participate with community partners through the Continuum of Care.</li> <li>• Applicant describes history of organizational collaboration with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk</li> </ul>	Screen 3A, #1		3	15

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
region, including participation with the Continuum of Care.				
<b>8. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant meets or exceeds any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures.</li> <li>Applicant demonstrates improvement in System Performance Measures.</li> </ul>	APR from previous year	<i>Technical Review</i>	16	80 <i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
<b>9. COORDINATED ENTRY AND HOUSING FIRST</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant will participate in Coordinated Entry (or alternate CE process if DV provider)</li> <li>Applicant follows a Housing First approach.</li> </ul>	Screen 3B, #4 and #5	<i>Technical Review</i>	<i>Screen out, if either answer is "no"</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
<b>SECTION 3 - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES</b>				
<b>10. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES DESCRIPTION</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Supportive Services Table.</b> Project provides a wide range of supportive services offered by the applicant and partner organizations.</li> <li><b>Transportation.</b> Applicant will provide transportation assistance to clients to enable participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.</li> </ul>	Screen 4A, #1		3	<u>TOTAL 60</u> 15
			3	15

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Annual Follow Up.</b> Applicant describes how staff follows up regularly with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed.</li> <li>• <b>SSI/SSDI Technical Assistance.</b> Applicant describes how program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance.</li> <li>• <b>SOAR Training.</b> Applicant affirms that staff person providing SSI/SSDI has received SOAR training in the past 24 months.</li> </ul>	Screen 4A, #3		2	10
	Screen 4A, #4		2	10
	Screen 4A, #4a		2	10
<b>SECTION 4 – BUDGET</b>				
<b>11. BUDGET</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budgeted costs are allowable by HUD.</li> <li>• Budget is cost effective and adequate to implement and sustain project activities over the grant period.</li> <li>• Budgeted costs are reasonable, given the proposed number of clients to be served.</li> <li>• Budgeted costs are justified.</li> <li>• Match and indirect costs (if any) are calculated correctly.</li> </ul>	Screen 6A	<i>Technical Review</i>	10	50  <i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<b>SECTION 5 – SUPPLEMENTAL EQUITY QUESTIONS</b>				
<b>12. EQUITY</b>				<u>TOTAL 50</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant describes meaningful involvement in program development by stakeholders with different identities.</li> <li>• Applicant describes positive equity and inclusion impacts for people with different identities resulting from the project proposal.</li> </ul>	Supplemental Questions		5	25
			5	25
<b>TOTAL</b>			80	400

**RATING KEY:**

5 = Excellent    4 = Very good    3 = Average    2 = Fair    1 = Poor