



**MID-WILLAMETTE VALLEY
HOMELESS ALLIANCE**
MOVING TO END AND
PREVENT HOMELESSNESS

CONTINUUM OF CARE NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

PROPOSAL RATING FORM

(1) NEW PROJECTS: PH-PSH, PH-RRH, JOINT TH and PH-RRH

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<i>Minimum Qualifications: Applicant meets minimum threshold requirements.</i>		<i>Yes/No Per Technical Review</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWWHA consultants</i>
SECTION 1 – APPLICANT EXPERIENCE				
1. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes experience in effectively utilizing federal funds, with preference given for experience using HUD funds. Demonstrating capacity may include a description of the applicant’s experience with similar projects. 	Screen 2B, #1d, and E		4	20
2. EXPERIENCE PERFORMING ACTIVITIES PROPOSED IN THE APPLICATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has well-documented experience and positive past performance in providing the proposed housing services proposed and in developing and implementing relevant program systems and services? 	Screen 2B, #1B		4	20
3. EXPERIENCE LEVERAGING FUNDS AND SECURING MATCH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes experience in leveraging federal, state, local, and private sector funds and in securing matching funds from a variety of sources. 	Screen 2B, 1C, and #2		3	15
4. BASIC ORGANIZATIONAL AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agency describes an organizational infrastructure and administrative/financial capacity to deliver the proposed services. 	Screen 2B, #1, 3		3	15

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant maintains an accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. 				
5. UNRESOLVED AUDIT FINDINGS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No unresolved audit findings or audit findings are explained to the satisfaction of the Continuum of Care. 	Section 2B, #4	<i>Technical Review</i>	<i>Up to 10 points may be deducted for unresolved audit findings</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION				
6. PROJECT DESIGN <u>Target Population to be Served</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project design reflects understanding of target population to be served and experience working with target population. Program Participants Table and Subpopulations Table are consistent with narrative describing target populations to be served. <u>General Project Elements</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project plan for addressing housing and supportive services needs is fully described. Type, scale, and location of the program fits the needs of the program participants. Project design clearly describes how CoC Program funding will be used. <u>Implementation/Staffing Plan</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project implementation plan describes clearly stated project readiness, schedule and start date. 	Screen 3B and 4B, Table 5A, 5B		5 5 5	<u>TOTAL 75</u> 25 25 25

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
7. COORDINATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant describes how proposed project will collaborate with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, and how the applicant will participate with community partners through the Continuum of Care. • Applicant describes history of organizational collaboration with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, including participation with the Continuum of Care. 	Screen 3A, #1		3	15
8. OUTCOMES AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant describes proposed project outcomes that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures. • Applicant describes relevant experience using a data system to report program outcomes and a plan to use the Homeless Management Information System for the new project. 	Screen 3A, #1	<i>Technical Review</i>	16	80 <i>This section will be evaluated by MWWHA consultants</i>
9. COORDINATED ENTRY AND HOUSING FIRST <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant will participate in Coordinated Entry (or alternate CE process if DV provider) • Applicant follows a Housing First approach. 	Screen 3B, #4 and #5	<i>Technical Review</i>	<i>Screen out, if either answer is "no"</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWWHA consultants</i>

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
SECTION 3 - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES				
10. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES DESCRIPTION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Connections to Housing. Applicant describes how participants will be assisted to quickly exit homelessness by obtaining or remaining in permanent housing. • Mainstream Services. Applicant describes a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment services for which program participants may be eligible. • Supportive Services Table. Project provides a wide range of supportive services offered by the applicant and partner organizations. • Transportation. Applicant will provide transportation assistance to clients to enable participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs. • Annual Follow Up. Applicant describes how staff follows up regularly with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed. • SSI/SSDI Technical Assistance. Applicant describes how program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance. 	<p>Screen 4A, #1</p> <p>Screen 4A, #2</p> <p>Screen 4A, #3</p> <p>Screen 4A, #4</p> <p>Screen 4A, #5</p> <p>Screen 4A, #6</p>		<p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p>	<p><u>TOTAL 60</u></p> <p>10</p> <p>10</p> <p>10</p> <p>10</p> <p>10</p> <p>10</p>

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
SECTION 4 – BUDGET				
11. BUDGET <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budgeted costs are allowable by HUD. Budget is cost effective and adequate to implement and sustain project activities over the grant period. Budgeted costs are reasonable, given the proposed number of clients to be served. Budgeted costs are justified. Match and indirect costs (if any) are calculated correctly. 	Screen 6A	<i>Technical Review</i>	10	50 <i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
SECTION 5 – SUPPLEMENTAL EQUITY QUESTIONS				
12. EQUITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TBD 	Supplemental Questions		10	<u>TOTAL 50</u> 50
TOTAL			80	400

RATING KEY:

5 = Excellent 4 = Very good 3 = Average 2 = Fair 1 = Poor