



MID-WILLAMETTE VALLEY
HOMELESS ALLIANCE
MOVING TO END AND
PREVENT HOMELESSNESS

CONTINUUM OF CARE NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

PROPOSAL RATING FORM

(2) RENEWALS: PH-PSH, PH-RRH

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<i>Minimum Qualifications: Applicant meets minimum threshold requirements.</i>		<i>Yes/No Per Technical Review</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWWHA consultants</i>
SECTION 1 – RECIPIENT PERFORMANCE				
1. APR TIMELINESS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the applicant submit the previous year’s APR on time? (5 for yes, 0 for no, if no satisfactory explanation) 	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	4	20
2. DRAWDOWNS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the applicant draw funds quarterly for the current renewal project? 	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	4	20
3. FUNDS REMAINING <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there any funds remaining for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request? (5 for no, 0 for yes, if no satisfactory explanation) 	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	4	20
4. UNRESOLVED AUDIT FINDINGS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there any unresolved monitoring or OIG audit findings that are not explained to the satisfaction of the Continuum of Care? 	Recipient Performance Screen	<i>Technical Review</i>	4	20

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION				
6. PROJECT DESIGN				
<u>Target Population to be Served</u>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project design reflects understanding of target population to be served and experience working with target population. Program Participants Table and Subpopulations Table are consistent with narrative describing target populations to be served. 	Screen 3B and 4B, Table 5A, 5B		5	25
<u>General Project Elements</u>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project plan for addressing housing and supportive services needs is fully described. Type, scale, and location of the program fits the needs of the program participants. Project design clearly describes how CoC Program funding will be used. 			5	25
<u>Implementation/Staffing Plan</u>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project implementation plan describes clearly stated project readiness, schedule and start date. 			5	25
7. COORDINATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes how proposed project will collaborate with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, and how the applicant will participate with community partners through the Continuum of Care. Applicant describes history of organizational collaboration with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk 	Screen 3A, #1		3	15

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
region, including participation with the Continuum of Care.				
8. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant meets or exceeds any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures. Applicant demonstrates improvement in System Performance Measures. 	APR from previous year	<i>Technical Review</i>	16	80 <i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
9. COORDINATED ENTRY AND HOUSING FIRST <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant will participate in Coordinated Entry (or alternate CE process if DV provider) Applicant follows a Housing First approach. 	Screen 3B, #4 and #5	<i>Technical Review</i>	<i>Screen out, if either answer is "no"</i>	<i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
SECTION 3 - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES				
10. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES DESCRIPTION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive Services Table. Project provides a wide range of supportive services offered by the applicant and partner organizations. Transportation. Applicant will provide transportation assistance to clients to enable participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs. 	Screen 4A, #1		2	<u>TOTAL 50</u> 10
	Screen 4A, #2		2	10

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Follow Up. Applicant describes how staff follows up regularly with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed. • SSI/SSDI Technical Assistance. Applicant describes how program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance. • SOAR Training. Applicant affirms that staff person providing SSI/SSDI has received SOAR training in the past 24 months. 	Screen 4A, #3		2	10
	Screen 4A, #4		2	10
	Screen 4A, #4a		2	10
SECTION 4 – BUDGET				
11. BUDGET <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budgeted costs are allowable by HUD. • Budget is cost effective and adequate to implement and sustain project activities over the grant period. • Budgeted costs are reasonable, given the proposed number of clients to be served. • Budgeted costs are justified. • Match and indirect costs (if any) are calculated correctly. 	Screen 6A	<i>Technical Review</i>	10	50 <i>This section will be evaluated by MWVHA consultants</i>
SECTION 5 – SUPPLEMENTAL EQUITY QUESTIONS				

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
12. EQUITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBD 	Supplemental Questions		10	<u>TOTAL 50</u> 50
TOTAL			80	400

RATING KEY:

5 = Excellent 4 = Very good 3 = Average 2 = Fair 1 = Poor