



CONTINUUM OF CARE NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

PROPOSAL RATING FORM

DV BONUS SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<i>Minimum Qualifications: Applicant meets minimum threshold requirements.</i>		<i>Yes/No Per Technical Review</i>		
SECTION 1 – ALL DV APPLICANTS				
A. DV PARTNERSHIPS				<u>TOTAL 50</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes collaboration with federally-funded programs and victim service providers to update CoC-wide policies. 			5	25
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes collaboration with organizations that help provide housing and services to DV survivors to: (a) update CoC-wide policies; and (b) ensure services are trauma informed and can meet the needs of survivors. 			5	25
B. SURVIVOR/LIVED EXPERIENCE PARTICIPATION				<u>TOTAL 50</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes role in helping the CoC ensure that survivors with a range of lived expertise are involved in the development of CoC-wide policy and programs, including (a) the role(s) and expertise of the survivor(s) involved; (b) how the agency assisted the CoC to safely engage, recruit, train, and compensate survivors to do this work; and (3) the intentional and meaningful integration of survivors’ feedback. 			5	25
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes how the CoC accounted for the unique and complex needs of survivors, such as asking for name, gender, and language preferences; providing multiple options for safe communication with survivors; 			5	25

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
and ensuring survivors consent to any disclosure of their status and participation with the CoC.				
TOTAL: ALL DV APPLICANTS				100
SUBTOTAL #1: NEW AND RENEWAL PROJECTS				
SECTION 2 – NEW DV BONUS PROJECTS ONLY				
1. HOUSING PLACEMENT AND HOUSING RETENTION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant provides calculated rate of housing placement and housing retention and describes how the rates were calculated and the data source. Applicant organization has a strong history of placing and retaining clients in housing. 			5	25
2. DATA SYSTEM AND EVALUATION OF NEED <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant provides and justifies calculation of total number of DV survivors in the Marion-Polk region that need housing or services, including number of survivors applicant’s agency is currently serving. • Applicant provides description of key barriers to meeting the needs of survivors that are not currently being served. 			5	25
3. RATE OF HOUSING PLACEMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant provides rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors and explains clearly: 1. how the project applicant calculated both rates; 2. whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and 3. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects). 			5	25

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<p>3. EVALUATING APPLICANT ABILITY TO ENSURE DV SURVIVOR SAFETY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes how the agency has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project. Examples include self-assessments, independent audits and reviews, peer reviews, and obtaining expertise in best practices implementation. 			5	25
<p>4. PLAN FOR TRAUMA INFORMED, VICTIM CENTERED PRACTICES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes how the new project will: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs; establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials; provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma; emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations; 			2 2 2 2	<p><u>TOTAL 70</u></p> <p>10 10 10 10</p>

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
5. center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed; 6. provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and 7. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.			2	10
5. INVOLVEMENT OF SURVIVORS WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE IN PROPOSED PROJECT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes how the proposed project will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. 			6	30
SUBTOTAL SECTION #2 – NEW DV BONUS PROJECTS				200
GRAND TOTAL – SECTIONS 1 & 2				400

RATING KEY:

5 = Excellent 4 = Very good 3 = Average 2 = Fair 1 = Poor