



**MID-WILLAMETTE VALLEY
HOMELESS ALLIANCE**
MOVING TO END AND
PREVENT HOMELESSNESS

CONTINUUM OF CARE NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

PROPOSAL RATING FORM

RENEWAL PROJECTS: COORDINATED ENTRY

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers*	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<i>Minimum Qualifications: Applicant meets minimum threshold requirements.</i>		<i>Yes/No Per Technical Review</i>	<i>N/A</i>	
SECTION 1 – RECIPIENT PERFORMANCE				
1. APR TIMELINESS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the applicant submit the previous year’s APR on time? (5 for yes, 0 for no, if no satisfactory explanation) 			2	10
2. DRAWDOWNS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the applicant draw funds quarterly for the current renewal project? (5 for yes, 0 for no, if no satisfactory explanation) 			1	5
3. FUNDS REMAINING <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there any funds remaining for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request? (5 for no, 0 for yes, if no satisfactory explanation) 			1	5
4. UNRESOLVED AUDIT FINDINGS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there any unresolved monitoring or OIG audit findings that are not explained to the satisfaction of the Continuum of Care? 		<i>Up to 10 points may be deducted for unresolved audit findings</i>		N/A

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers*	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION				
5. PROJECT DESIGN <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project design is fully described and includes a project plan for Coordinated Entry needs. • Application clearly describes how CoC funding will be used. 			5	25
6. COORDINATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant describes how proposed project will collaborate with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, and how the applicant will participate with community partners through the Continuum of Care. • Applicant describes history of organizational collaboration with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, including participation with the Continuum of Care. 			5	25
7. OUTCOMES AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant describes proposed project outcomes that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures. • Applicant meets or exceeds any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures. • Applicant demonstrates effective activities likely to improve System Performance Measures. • Applicant describes relevant experience using HMIS to capture data and report program outcomes. 			27	135

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers*	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<p>8. COORDINATED ENTRY CRITERIA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant Coordinated Entry process will cover the CoC’s entire geographic area. • Applicant’s Coordinated Entry process will be affirmatively marketed and easily accessible by individuals and families seeking housing and services. • Applicant describes an advertisement strategy for the Coordinated Entry process and how it is designed to reach those with the highest barriers to accessing assistance including persons with disabilities and persons with limited English proficiency. • Applicant’s Coordinated Entry process will use a comprehensive, standardized assessment process. • Applicant describes the referral process and how the project follows a Housing First approach. • If the CE process includes differences in access, entry, assessment, or referral for certain subpopulations, are those differences limited to only: (1) adults without children; (2) adults accompanied by children; (3) unaccompanied youth; (4) households fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other danger or life-threatening conditions (including human trafficking); and (5) persons at risk of homelessness? 			<p>4</p> <p>4</p> <p>4</p> <p>4</p> <p>4</p> <p>4</p>	<p><u>TOTAL 120</u></p> <p>20</p> <p>20</p> <p>20</p> <p>20</p> <p>20</p> <p>20</p>
SECTION 3 – BUDGET				
<p>9. BUDGET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budgeted costs are allowable by HUD. 				

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers*	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget is cost effective and adequate to implement and sustain project activities over the grant period. Budgeted costs are reasonable, given the proposed number and needs of clients to be served. Budgeted costs are justified. Match and indirect costs (if any) are calculated correctly. 			5	25
SECTION 4 – SUPPLEMENTAL EQUITY QUESTIONS				
10. EQUITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant describes meaningful involvement in program development by stakeholders with different identities. Applicant has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. 	Supplemental Questions		5	<u>TOTAL 50</u> 25
			5	25
TOTAL				400

RATING KEY:

5 = Excellent 4 = Very good 3 = Average 2 = Fair 1 = Poor