



MID-WILLAMETTE VALLEY  
HOMELESS ALLIANCE  
MOVING TO END AND  
PREVENT HOMELESSNESS

CONTINUUM OF CARE NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

PROPOSAL RATING FORM

RENEWALS: PH-PSH, PH-RRH

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<i>Minimum Qualifications: Applicant meets minimum threshold requirements.</i>		<i>Yes/No Per Technical Review</i>	<i>N/A</i>	
<b>SECTION 1 – RECIPIENT PERFORMANCE</b>				
<b>1. APR TIMELINESS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the applicant submit the previous year’s APR on time? (5 for yes, 0 for no, if no satisfactory explanation)</li> </ul>			2	15
<b>2. DRAWDOWNS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the applicant draw funds quarterly for the current renewal project? (5 for yes, 0 for no, if no satisfactory explanation)</li> </ul>			1	5
<b>3. FUNDS REMAINING</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are there any funds remaining for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request? (5 for no, 0 for yes, if no satisfactory explanation)</li> </ul>			2	5
<b>4. UNRESOLVED AUDIT FINDINGS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are there any unresolved monitoring or OIG audit findings that are not explained to the satisfaction of the Continuum of Care?</li> </ul>			<i>Up to 10 points may be deducted for unresolved audit findings</i>	N/A



Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant describes history of organizational collaboration with other providers or agencies in the Marion-Polk region, including participation with the Continuum of Care.</li> </ul>				
<p><b>7. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant describes proposed project outcomes that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures.</li> <li>Applicant meets or exceeds any established HUD benchmarks for System Performance Measures.</li> <li>Applicant demonstrates improvement in System Performance Measures or maintains numbers representing strong performance.</li> </ul>	APR from previous year		31	155
<p><b>8. COORDINATED ENTRY AND HOUSING FIRST</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant will participate in Coordinated Entry (or alternate CE process if DV provider)</li> <li>Applicant follows a Housing First approach.</li> </ul>			<i>Screen out if either answer is "no"</i>	N/A
<b>SECTION 3 - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES</b>				
<p><b>9. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES DESCRIPTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Supportive Services Table.</b> Project provides a wide range of supportive services offered by the applicant and partner organizations.</li> <li><b>Transportation.</b> Applicant will provide transportation assistance to clients to enable participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.</li> </ul>			3  3	<u>TOTAL 60</u> 15  15

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Annual Follow Up.</b> Applicant describes how staff follows up regularly with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed.</li> <li>• <b>SSI/SSDI Technical Assistance.</b> Applicant describes how program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance.</li> <li>• <b>SOAR Training.</b> Applicant affirms that staff person providing SSI/SSDI has received SOAR training in the past 24 months.</li> </ul>			2	10
<b>SECTION 4 – BUDGET</b>				
<b>10. BUDGET</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budgeted costs are allowable by HUD.</li> <li>• Budget is cost effective and adequate to implement and sustain project activities over the grant period.</li> <li>• Budgeted costs are reasonable, given the proposed number and needs of clients to be served.</li> <li>• Budgeted costs are justified.</li> <li>• Match and indirect costs (if any) are calculated correctly.</li> </ul>			3	15
<b>SECTION 5 – SUPPLEMENTAL EQUITY QUESTIONS</b>				
<b>11. EQUITY</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant describes meaningful involvement in program development by stakeholders with different identities.</li> <li>• Applicant has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local</li> </ul>	Supplemental Questions		5	<u>TOTAL 50</u> 25
			5	25

Criteria	Corresponding Item Numbers	Rating 0-5	X Weight	Maximum Points
homeless population and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.				
<b>TOTAL</b>				400

**RATING KEY:**

5 = Excellent    4 = Very good    3 = Average    2 = Fair    1 = Poor